IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA

In Re:)) Case No. 08-61570
Yellowstone Mountain Club, LLC,)
Debtor.)

THE HON. RALPH B. KIRSCHER, presiding

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

February 20, 2009

Transcript Services:

Proceedings recorded by electronic recording; transcript produced by reporting service.

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE DEBTORS:
4	JAMES A. PATTEN
5	Attorney at Law
6	Suite 300, The Fratt Building
7	2817 Second Avenue North
8	Billings, MT 59101
9	
10	LAWRENCE R. REAM
11	Attorney at Law
12	Bullivant Houser Bailey, PC
13	1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300
14	Seattle, WA 98101-1618
15	
16	FOR EDRA BLIXSETH:
17	GARY DESCHENES
18	Attorney at Law
19	Deschenes Law Office
20	P.O. Box 3466
21	Great Falls, MT 59403-3466
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (continued)
2	
3	FOR CREDIT SUISSE:
4	MARK S. CHEHI
5	ROBERT S. SAUNDERS
6	Attorneys at Law
7	Skadden, Arps, Slate,
8	Meagher & Flom
9	One Rodney Square, Seventh Floor
10	Wilmington, DE 19801
11	
12	EVAN R. LEVY
13	Attorney at Law
14	Skadden, Arps, Slate,
15	Meagher & Flom
16	Four Times Square
17	New York, NY 10036-6652
18	
19	SHANE P. COLEMAN
20	Attorney at Law
21	Holland & Hart
22	401 North 31st Street, Suite 1500
23	Billings, MT 59101
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (continued)
2	
3	FOR THE AD HOC COMMITTEE OF YELLOWSTONE
4	CLUB MEMBERS:
5	JONATHAN B. ALTER
6	Attorney at Law
7	Bingham McCutchen, LLP
8	One State Street
9	Hartford, CT 06103
10	
11	FOR DISCOVERY LAND COMPANY:
12	STEVEN G. SKLAVER
13	Attorney at Law
14	Susman Godfrey, LLP
15	1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950
16	Los Angeles, CA 90067
17	
18	FOR CROSSHARBER CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC:
19	PAUL D. MOORE
20	Attorney at Law
21	Duane Morris, LLP
22	470 Atlantic Avenue, Suite 500
23	Boston, MA 02210-2600
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (continued)
2	
3	FOR CROSSHARBER CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC:
4	BENJAMIN P. HURSH
5	Attorney at Law
6	Crowley Fleck
7	P.O. Box 7099
8	Missoula, MT 59807-7099
9	
10	FOR HIGHLAND MANAGEMENT, LP:
11	EMILY S. CHOU
12	Attorney at Law
13	Warner Stevens, LLP
14	301 Commerce Street, Suite 1700
15	Fort Worth, TX 76102
16	
17	FOR THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS:
18	DAVID P. BILLINGS
19	Attorney at Law
20	Parsons, Behle & Latimer
21	201 South Main Street, Suite 1800
22	Salt Lake City, UT 84145-0898
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (continued)
2	
3	FOR THE AD HOC GROUP OF CLASS B UNIT HOLDERS:
4	MARY L. KNOBLAUCH
5	Attorney at Law
6	Anthony, Ostlund, Baer & Louwagie, PA
7	3600 Wells Fargo Building
8	90 South Seventh Street
9	Minneapolis, MN 55402
10	
11	FOR LaMOND, JASSON, MORRIS,
12	and SACIA ENTERPRISES, INC.:
13	BRUCE D. MANNING
14	Attorney at LAw
15	Robins, Kaplan, Miller, & Ciresi, LLP
16	LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2800
17	Minneapolis, MN 55402-2015
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 YELLOWSTONE MOUNTAIN CLUB BANKRUPTCY 2 BE IT REMEMBERED THAT this matter came on for hearing 3 on February 20, 2009, in the United States Bankruptcy 4 Court, District of Montana, The Hon. Ralph B. Kirscher, 5 6 presiding: 7 The following proceedings were had: 8 9 10 THE COURT: (Audio begins at this point) -- state your 11 purpose for the motion. It looked like it had been scaled 12 down in time. And I guess before going forward, I'm 13 assuming this hasn't been resolved. Correct? 14 MR. SAUNDERS: That's correct, Your Honor. This 15 is Rob Saunders from Skadden-Arps. I don't think either motion has been resolved. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DESCHENES: Your Honor, this is Gary

Deschenes. I'm new to this. I represent Edra Blixseth.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I got a call this morning regarding this.

Mr. Joe Eisenberg is apparently in court and wasn't able to get out to attend this. And he, I understand, is the one that represents the one that this motion is against. And he has asked to pass on to of the Court please delay this hearing until probably Monday so that he can attend and

```
1
     voice his concerns regarding it. But that's why he's not
 2
     involved in this.
 3
                MR. SAUNDERS: Your Honor, this is Rob Saunders.
 4
     May I speak to that?
                THE COURT: Just a moment, just a moment.
 5
                Mr. Deschenes, you are appearing on her behalf?
 6
 7
                MR. DESCHENES: Yes.
                THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Saunders, do you wish to
 8
     go forward with the motions?
 9
                MR. SAUNDERS: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
                THE COURT: Okay. Let me make it clear to
12
     everybody before Mr. Saunders goes forward: I'm going to
13
     rule on this, and I'm going to rule on it here in very
14
     short order, just so you all know where I'm at on this. I
15
     take a very dim view of these types of things. I expect,
16
     especially with the number of competent counsel in this,
17
     that these matters get resolved short of this, but when
18
     they can't be, I will deal with it. But today, I'm going
19
     to -- I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, and I'm
20
     going to rule. So make your remarks brief.
21
                MR. SAUNDERS: Your Honor, this is Rob Saunders
22
     from Skadden-Arps for Credit Suisse. I hear that, and we
23
     will be brief, Your Honor.
24
                The first motion is directed to BGI, which is the
25
     entity that owns the debtors and that Ms. Blixseth owns
```

herself. You know, the issue here is that we haven't had any response from anybody on behalf of BGI, any attorney. The Court entered an order on January 9th that authorized us to undertake a Rule 2004 examination of, of BGI, BLX Group, Inc. And under that order, we issued a subpoena, and the documents were supposed to have been produced on February 9th. Nothing was produced to us and no one responded.

Your Honor may recall that when we were in court on February 10th in Butte, we raised this issue, including the fact that nobody appeared who was representing BGI. As I recall from that hearing, Ms. Blixseth's testimony was that she was hopeful that Mr. Eisenberg would be representing BGI in this discovery. So as soon as we got back, we contacted Mr. Eisenberg and asked him if he was representing or if his firm was representing BGI and to try to figure out when we could get and how we could get the documents. And he told us that his firm was not representing BGI, that he only represented Ms. Blixseth personally. We asked him if he could point us in the direction of somebody who did represent BGI, and he did not respond.

So BGI has never objected to the Rule 2004 examination or the subpoena, and they've simply failed to produce any documents. So we'd ask for the Court to enter

the order that we've asked for in the motion compelling BGI to produce the documents that are identified in the Rule 2004 examination order immediately.

THE COURT: Okay. As it's related to BGI, it's granted. The next motion.

MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you, Your Honor. The other motion is directed to CrossHarbor.

Your Honor, we understand from the response that CrossHarbor filed late last night that they've now withdrawn the various objections that they had previously been asserting to the scope of our Rule 2004 discovery requests so that the only issue left is whether there should be a deadline for their production and, if so, what it is.

To me, Your Honor, that's a strange issue because I don't think I've ever had a situation where a party was arguing that there should not be any deadline at all for its document production. And, particularly, in light of Your Honor's comments, I wish we didn't have to be taking up Your Honor's time today, but I hope that Your Honor can understand why CrossHarbor's refusal to commit to a deadline for production is unacceptable to Credit Suisse in this circumstance.

We've been at this effort to get discovery from CrossHarbor for several months now. Your Honor entered an

order on December 10th, more than two months ago, that granted our motion for expedited discovery of CrossHarbor, and in response to that, we got two documents.

CrossHarbor made an oral motion to the Court on December 17th to have Your Honor withdraw that discovery, and Your Honor overruled that objection and denied that motion.

We were unsuccessful thereafter in getting documents from CrossHarbor under that discovery order, so we ultimately pursued a rule 2004 examination. Your Honor entered that order on January 20th, more than, again, a month ago. And, Your Honor in court on February 10th denied CrossHarbor's objection and their motion for reconsideration of that 2004 order.

So CrossHarbor, Your Honor, has given us every reason to believe that they'll drag this discovery out as long as possible if there's not a firm deadline in place. As of my conversation with Mr. Moore this past Wednesday morning, the 18th, CrossHarbor had still not started the process of gathering documents other than a small stack of documents that they've produced to us last night and this morning. And that's very troubling to us, and that's why we want a deadline.

Now, with respect to what that deadline needs to be, it really has to be the deadline that we proposed,

```
1
     February 27th. That is not a -- you know - (inaudible,
 2
     audio cuts out) - date with room to move.
                The debtors have scheduled an extremely important
 3
 4
     motion for approval of their plan of reorganization and
     disclosure statement to be heard on March 4th.
 5
 6
     interrelationships and the agreements and the
 7
     communications between CrossHarbor and Discovery Land and
 8
     Ms. Blixseth have always been important to us, and they're
     now critically important, given that those parties are
 9
     proposing a plan of reorganization that they've negotiating
10
11
     together. But we need to be able to have to discovery in
12
     order to be able to present evidence at the March 4th
13
     hearing. So if we don't get these documents --
14
                THE COURT: Mr. Saunders, I appreciate your
15
     argument.
16
                And Mr. Moore or Mr. Hursh, do you have a
17
     response?
```

MR. HURSH: Yes, Judge, this is Mr. Hursh. I would like to respond.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My understanding when I spoke to Mr. Moore earlier in the week - and I don't want to put words in his mouth - was that, in fact, the significant discovery issues had been resolved save for a date at which production would be completed. As a result, I was surprised yesterday when the motion to compel came across the wire.

I understand Your Honor has not been -- or had access to the docket this morning. I did file a short response last evening with the hope that you would have an opportunity to see it wherein attached as Exhibit 1 was an immediate response by Mr. Moore to Mr. Saunders saying:

You know, I think there's some confusion. We're producing documents. Consistent with that, last evening, a FedEx was sent out with, I believe, a significant number of documents that complied with their request.

I mean we are a little, I guess, confused. Now, whether it was miscommunication, or what, I don't know. I don't want to lay blame because we're all here, obviously, taking our time to sort it out.

With regards to a production date, Your Honor, we have agreed to produce a significant volume of documents as they've requested. And with regard to doing so, we ask that they be -- that they recognize that it takes time to do that. Once the client gets them to us, they have to be reviewed, privilege logs produced, Bates-stamped, and then get them out the door to Mr. Chehi, Mr. Levy, and Mr. Saunders.

Now, having said that, we think it is unrealistic that we'll be able to do that consistent with the obligations to review, Bates-stamp, and create privilege logs by the date they've requested. We are not, as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Saunders maybe suggested, trying to drag our feet here. I think the exhibits to our response show that CrossHarbor is cooperating. I mean there was conversations even yesterday after the motion was filed between Mr. Saunders and my colleagues at Duane Morris regarding the terms of a consensual protective order. THE COURT: Mr. Hursh, thank you. Lynn, what day of the week is the 27th? THE CLERK: The 27th is next Friday, a week from today. THE COURT: Well, gentlemen, in considering the motion, I was going to order that all documents be produced by next Tuesday. But given the fact that Credit Suisse has talked about the 27th, I will concede that date, given their request of that date, that all documents be produced together with any log, if necessary, for privileged documents. We're going to trial on the 4th, which would be, what, Wednesday? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's right, Your Honor. THE COURT: So at this point, the order is going to be issued, granting. And the time for both BGI and CrossHarbor to produce is February 27th. And if there's problems with that, I'll entertain motions for sanctions or a protective order, and that will

be taken up promptly. And I may do that even before the hearings on the 4th. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: We'll issue an order to that effect -- or orders to that effect. I appreciate you all the being available. As you are aware, I am out of Montana. And so with that, these matters can be resolved; and if not, we'll take them up the first part of next week on any other motions -- not next week; the week after. I'm sorry. So we'll see if everything was produced by -- (inaudible, audio cuts out.) With that, gentlemen, that will conclude the hearing. Have a good day.

CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, all done to the best of my skill and ability. Jonny B. Nordhagen